

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/721,539	11/25/2003	J. Randall Hall	H&S-L-CIP	5739	
7590 03/24/2005			EXAM	INER	
Daniel J. Hudak, Jr.			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON		
Hudak, Shunk a 2020 Front Stre	& Farine Co., L.P.A.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221			3722		

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		,		HALL, J. RANDALL				
		10/721						
	,	Exami		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		n Fridie	3722				
Period fo		ication appears on	ine cover sneet with the c	orrespondence dadress.	, 52			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI usions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ication.			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>06 January 2</i>	<u>005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	s non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ment								
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte</i>	Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				•			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-21 and 23-30</u> is/are p	ending in the appli	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) 1-3,5-10,21 and 23-30 is/a							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is req	uired if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗆	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority:	under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ior roroign phoney	211do1 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(a)	, (a) or (i).				
,-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies				<b>3</b>			
	application from the Internatio				•			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/21/05</u> .	1 10/30/00)	6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims,11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hillstead ('177). Hillstead ('177) discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is identical to the invention as broadly recited. Some of the elements disclosed are a body (220), a mounting portion (228), a first milling portion (24), a second milling portion (252), blades (238) and a bevel cutting blade (262).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3722

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15,16, and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hillstead ('177).

In regard to claims 5,6 and 21, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

In regard to claims 15 and16, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the blade of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3,5-10,21 and 23-30 are allowed.

Claims 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims **Response to Arguments** 

Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the particular dimensions discussed on page eight at paragraph four) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 9-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,539 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER